BERKSHIRE LOCAL TRANSPORT BODY (BLTB)

REPORT TO: BLTB **DATE:** 14 November 2019

CONTACT OFFICER: Josie Wragg, Chief Executive, Slough Borough Council,

lead officer to the BLTB

PART I

Item 4: Thames Valley Berkshire Local Growth Deal 2015/16 to 2020/21

Purpose of Report

- To report on the progress of the <u>Thames Valley Berkshire Local Growth Deal</u>i, as amended by Growth Deal 2 (£10.2 million further support to <u>Thames Valley Berkshire</u>ii) and Growth Deal 3 (<u>Factsheet GD3</u>iii) with particular reference to the schemes included in the Transport Packages of the <u>Strategic Economic Plan</u>iv; and on the progress of schemes funded by the Business Rates Retention Pilot (BRRP) 2018/19.
- 2. The headline figure for transport scheme grants under the three Local Growth Deals is £135.926m. This includes £24m of "DfT retained" allocation relating to the Wokingham Distributor Roads. This report provides progress reports on all programme entry schemes and the TVB Smart City Cluster (Smart Berkshire) scheme. A further £25m has been released through BRRP1 2018/19 and £11m from BRRP2 2019/20.
- 3. £14.742m LGF was spent on transport schemes in 2015/16, £16.546m in 2016/17, £15.055m in 2017/18, £8,810,000 in 2018/19 and £8,225,000 in September 2019. In addition, £10.808m was spent from BRRP.

Recommendations

4. That you note the progress made on the schemes previously given programme entry status, as set out in Appendix 1.

Other Implications

Risk Management

- 5. The delegation of programme management responsibilities to the LEP/BLTB brings risks. The well-established scrutiny given by both BST(O)F and BLTB meetings is designed to mitigate that risk.
- 6. There will be an element of risk for scheme promoters who invest in developing their schemes to full business case stage in accordance with the approved Assurance Framework. However, there is also risk involved in not developing the schemes; that risk is that any reluctance to bring the schemes forward will result in any final approval being delayed or refused.

7. The risks associated with each scheme are monitored locally. Table 4 has been adapted to show the current risk rating of each of the schemes. Completed schemes are shown in blue.

Financial

- 8. Thames Valley Berkshire LEP has been granted freedoms and flexibilities in managing the Local Growth Deal Capital Programme. This means that we will receive an annual allocation of capital within which it will be our responsibility to manage the award of LGF to individual schemes. This is a positive development for TVB LEP and recognises the confidence that government has in our governance arrangements.
- 9. The government has confirmed the allocation of funding for 2019/20 and there is a provisional profile for payments in the financial year 2020/21.

Table 1: Available Finance for Transport Schemes in TVB Local Growth Deal and BRRP

| £m | 2015/16 – 2020/21 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| LTB previously approve | ed | 14.5 | | | | | |
| Growth Deal 1 | 56.1 | | | | | | |
| Less unallocated | - 0.7 | | | | | | |
| | | 55.4 | | | | | |
| Growth Deal 1 "DfT Major Sc | Growth Deal 1 "DfT Major Schemes" | | | | | | |
| Growth Deal 2 | | 7.5 | | | | | |
| Growth Deal 3 | 33.8 | | | | | | |
| Plus unallocated | 0.7 | | | | | | |
| | | 34.5 | | | | | |
| Local Growth Deal Tot | tal | 135.9 | | | | | |
| BRRP 2018/19 and 2019 | 36.0 | | | | | | |
| Gı | and Total | 171.9 | | | | | |

10. The profile and status of the available money in each year is as follows:

Table 2: Local Growth Deal and BRRP Financial Allocations by Financial Year

| £m | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Total |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Combined Growth Deal 1, 2, 3 and LTB Allocation approved | 14.7 | 16.5 | 15.1 | 8.8 | - | - | 55.2 |
| Growth Deal 1 (DfT Major Schemes) indicative | - | - | - | 0.9 | 22.1 | 1.0 | 24.0 |
| Combined Growth Deal 1, 2 and 3 LTB Allocation <i>indicative profile</i> | - | - | - | - | 19.8 | 37.0 | 56.8 |
| Local Growth Deal Total | 14.7 | 16.5 | 15.1 | 9.7 | 41.9 | 38.0 | 135.9 |
| BRRP | - | - | - | 11.5 | 24.5 | - | 36.0 |
| Grand Total | 14.7 | 16.5 | 15.1 | 21.2 | 66.4 | 38.0 | 171.9 |

11. The breakdown of types of projects with allocated LGF and BRRP monies is shown below:

Table 3: Breakdown of schemes by type by funding allocated

| £m | LGF | BRRP | Total |
|--------------------------|-------|------|-------|
| MRT / P&R projects | 20.6 | 21.1 | 41.7 |
| Railway projects | 31.6 | - | 31.6 |
| Highway improvements | 26.2 | - | 26.2 |
| Unlocking direct housing | 22.5 | 12.3 | 34.8 |
| Other | 11.0 | 1.4 | 11.9 |
| DfT retained | 24.0 | - | 24 |
| Unallocated funds | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Total funding | 135.9 | 36.0 | 171.9 |

12. Table 4 has been amended to present all project data previously shown across several tables. It shows the final award of scheme finance for 2015/16, 2016/17, 2017/18 and 2018/19 and the provisional allocation for future financial years, which are subject to alteration following the government's confirmation of the Local Growth Deal funding profile and final award of schemes finance for 2018/19 and the provisional allocation for 2019/20 for the BRRP. It also shows Red Amber Green (RAG) risk rating and completed projects in blue, the data that LTB approval was granted or sought and any notes including when future evaluations are due.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/327587/35_Thames_ Valley_Berkshire_Growth_Deal.pdf

[&]quot;https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/399438/Thames_Valley_Berkshire_Factsheet.pdf

iiihttps://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/589268/170202_Thames_Valley_Berkshire_LEP_GD_factsheet.pdf

iv http://www.thamesvalleyberkshire.co.uk/documents?page=1&folder=192&view=files

vhttp://www.thamesvalleyberkshire.co.uk/berkshire-strategic-transport-forum

Table 4 – Local Growth Deal and BRRP Scheme Funding Profiles

| Ref. | Scheme Name | Growth Deal | RAG | Notes | LTB Funding Approval | Start on Site | Completion date | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Total |
|---------|---|----------------|-----|---|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| LOCAL (| GROWTH FUND | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.01 | Newbury: Kings Road Link Road | GD 1 | G | | Mar 15 | Oct 16 | Due Jan 21 | 0 | 1.335 | 1.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.335 |
| 2.02 | Bracknell: Warfield Link Road | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report due Mar 20 | Jan 15 | Feb 15 | Apr 17; open Oct 18 | 3.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.500 |
| 2.03 | Newbury: London Road Industrial Estate | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report published Jul 18. Delays to linked housing | Mar 15 | Feb 16 | Mar 17 | 0.500 | 1.400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.900 |
| 2.04.4 | Wokingham: Arborfield Cross Relief Road | DfT major | G | DfT "Large Scheme" | Jul 19 & Aug 19 via DfT | Aug 19 | Due Aug 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.874 | 22.126 | 1.0 | 24.00 |
| 2.05 | Newbury: Sandleford Park | GD 2 | А | Completion delayed | Jul 16 | Aug 18 | Due Sep 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.000 | 0.900 | 0 | 2.900 |
| 2.06 | Reading: Green Park Railway Station | GD 1 | А | Additional LGF & NSF awarded. Completion delayed | Nov 14 & July 19 | Mar 18 | Due Aug 20 | 0 | 0 | 4.575 | 0 | 4.575 | 0.550 | 9.700 |
| 2.07 | Bracknell: Coral Reef Roundabout | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report published Nov 17 | Jan 15 | Apr 15 | Apr 16 | 2.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.100 |
| 2.08 | Slough: Rapid Transit Ph 1 | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report due Mar 20 | Jul 14 | Dec 15 | Dec 17; buses Mar 19 | 3.100 | 2.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.600 |
| 2.09.1 | Sustainable Transport: NCN 422 | GD 1 | Α | Completion delayed | Nov 15 | Jan 17 | Due Mar 20 | 0 | 2.100 | 1.500 | 0.200 | 0.400 | 0 | 4.200 |
| 2.09.2 | Sustainable Transport: A4 Cycle (with Bucks) | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report due Mar 20 | Nov 15 | Feb 17 | Sep 18 | 0 | 0.483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.483 |
| 2.10 | Slough: A332 Improvements | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report due Nov 20 | Nov 14 | Dec 15 | Sep 19 | 1.267 | 1.433 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.700 |
| 2.11 | Reading: South Reading MRT phase 1 | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report due Nov 20 | Nov 15 | Sep 16 | Jul 19 | 0 | 2.970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.970 |

| Ref. | Scheme Name | Growth Deal | RAG | Notes | LTB Funding Approval | Start on Site | Completion date | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Total |
|------|--|----------------|--------|--|---|------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------------------------------|
| 2.12 | Reading: South Reading MRT phase 2 | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 1.530 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.530 |
| 2.13 | Wokingham: Thames Valley Park and Ride | GD 1 | G | | Jul 17 | Feb 18 | Due Dec 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.000 | 0.900 | 0 | 2.900 |
| 2.14 | East Reading MRT Phase 1 | GD 1 | Droid | ant with drawn | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.25 | East Reading MRT Phase 2 | GD 3 | Proje | ect withdrawn | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.15 | Bracknell: Martins Heron Roundabout | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report due Mar 20 | Jan 17 | Mar 17 | Apr 19 | 0 | 0.200 | 2.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.900 |
| 2.16 | Maidenhead: Station Access | GD 1 | G | | Nov 17 | Jan 19 | Due Mar 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.690 | 3.060 | 0 | 3.750 |
| 2.17 | Slough: A355 route | GD 1 | С | 1-yr impact report published Jul 18 | Nov 14 | Dec 15 | Feb 17 | 2.275 | 2.125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.400 |
| 2.18 | Not used | | | | | | | | 1 | ' | • | | ' | |
| 2.19 | Bracknell: Town Centre Regeneration Infrastructure | GD 2 | С | 1-yr impact report published Mar 19 | Nov 15 | Apr 15 | Sep 17 | 2.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.000 |
| 2.20 | Not used | | | | | | | | 1 | • | ' | | | |
| 2.21 | Slough: Langley Station Access | GD 2 | А | Completion delayed | Nov 2016 | Mar 18 | Due Dec 19 | 0 | 0 | 1.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.500 |
| 2.22 | Slough: Burnham Station Access | GD 2 | С | 1-yr impact report due Jul 20 | Mar 16 | Jan 17 | Apr 19 | 0 | 2.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.000 |
| 2.23 | Reading: South Reading MRT Ph 3-4 | GD 3 | А | Completion delayed See BRRP below. | Nov 17 | Mar 18 | Due Nov 20 | 0 | 0 | 2.250 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 | 2.340 LEP total 10.148 |
| 2.24 | Newbury: Railway Station | GD 3 | G | | Conditional Jul 18, lifted Feb 19 | Jan 19 | Due Mar 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.630 | 0.921 | 1.500 | 6.051 |
| 2.25 | East Reading MRT Phas | se 2 - See | 2.14 | above | · | | | | | • | · | | <u> </u> | |
| 2.26 | Wokingham: Winnersh | Relief Ro | oad Pl | hase 2 - See BRRP below | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.27 | Maidenhead Town Centre: Missing Links | GD 3 | G | | Conditional Nov 18, | Due Jul 20 | Due Mar 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.842 | 1.400 | 2.242 |

| Ref. | Scheme Name | Growth Deal | RAG | Notes | LTB Funding | Start on Site | Completion date | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Total |
|------|--|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|
| | | Deai | | | Approval lifted Sep 19 | | date | | | | | | | |
| 2.28 | Bracknell: A3095 Corridor | GD 3 | G | | July 2018 | Oct 18 enabling | Due Nov 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.200 | 1.800 | 3.519 | 5.519 |
| 2.29 | Wokingham: Winnersh Triangle Park & Ride (was Parkway) | GD 3 resrv. | G | | Conditional Mar 19, lifted May 19 | Due May 20 | Due Sep 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.250 | 2.600 | 2.850 |
| 2.30 | TVB Smart City Cluster | – See be | low | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.31 | Slough: Stoke Road Area Regeneration | GD 3 resrv. | G | | Jul 19 | Aug 19 enabling | Due Mar 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.500 | 5.150 | 7.650 |
| 2.32 | Maidenhead: Housing Sites Enabling Work Ph. 1 | GD 3 resrv. | А | See BRRP below | Conditional Jan 19 | Due Jan 20 | Due Sep 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.315 | 1.898 | 4.213 LEP total 5.281 |
| 2.33 | GWR: Maidenhead to Marlow Branch Line Upgrade | GD 3 resrv. | А | Programme Entry Stage | Due Nov 19 Bucks TV LEP lead | Due Aug 20 | Due Dec 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.525 | 0 | 1.525 |
| 2.34 | Slough MRT Phase 2 – s | | belo belo | W | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.35 | Reading: Reading West Station Upgrade | GD 3 resrv. | Α | Programme Entry Stage | Due Nov 19 | Due Jul 20 | Due Sep 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.100 | 3.100 |
| 2.36 | Wokingham: Coppid Beech Park and Ride | GD 3 resrv. | А | Programme Entry Stage | Due Mar 20 | ТВС | ТВС | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.400 | 2.400 |
| 2.37 | Bracknell: A322 A329 Corridor Improvements | GD 3 resrv. | А | Programme Entry Stage | Due Nov 19 | Due Jul 20 | Due Mar 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.000 | 2.000 |
| 2.38 | Theale Station Upgrade | GD 3 resrv. | А | Programme Entry Stage | Due Mar 20 | ТВС | ТВС | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.000 | 4.000 |
| 2.39 | Wokingham: Coppid Beech northbound on- slip widening | GD 3 resrv. | А | Programme Entry Stage | Due Mar 20 | ТВС | TBC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.322 | 2.322 |
| 2.40 | Windsor: Town Centre Package | GD 3 resrv. | А | Programme Entry Stage | Due May 20 | Due May 20 | Due Mar 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.563 | 1.563 |
| 2.41 | Not used | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.42 | | 1 | | - Eastern Gateway – see B | | | I | ı | ı | | | I . | . 1 | |
| 2.43 | Wokingham: Barkham | GD 3 | Α | Ref was 2.42 | Due Nov 19 | Due Nov | Due Mar 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.100 | 2.136 | 4.235 |

| Ref. | Scheme Name | Growth Deal | RAG | Notes | LTB Funding Approval | Start on Site | Completion date | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Total |
|--------|--|----------------|-----|--|---|------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| | Bridge | resrv. | | Programme Entry Stage | | 19 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Predicted | Spend | | 14.742 | 16.546 | 15.055 | 9.684 | 44.214 | 35.138 | 135.378 |
| | | | | | Unallocate | d Funds | | | | | | | | 0.069 |
| BUSINI | ESS RATES RETENTION P | ILOT | | | | | | ' | | | • | | | |
| 2.23 | Reading: South Reading MRT Phases 3-4 | BRRP | А | See 2.23 LGF above | Nov 17 | Mar 18 | Due Nov 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.808 | 0 | 0 | 7.808 LEP total 10.148 |
| 2.26 | Wokingham: Winnersh Relief Road Phase 2 | BRRP | G | Phase 1 privately funded Moved from LGF. | Conditional Nov 18, lifted Feb 19 | Jan 19 | Due Sep 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.000 | 3.260 | 0 | 6.260 |
| 2.32 | Maidenhead: Housing Sites Enabling Work Ph. 1 | BRRP | Α | See LGF above | Conditional Jan 19 | Due Jan 20 | Due Sep 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.068 | 0 | 1.068 LEP total 5.281 |
| 2.34 | Slough MRT Phase 2 | BRRP | G | | Jan 19 | Aug 19 | Due Aug 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.300 | 0 | 13.300 |
| 2.42 | South Wokingham Distributor Road – Eastern Gateway | BRRP | Α | Programme Entry Stage | Due Nov 19 | Due Nov 19 | Due Nov 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.000 | 0 | 5.000 |
| N/a | BLIS development | BRRP | N/a | Approved / underway | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.044 | 0.046 | 0 | 0.090 |
| N/a | Business Case Preparation | BRRP | N/a | 6 proposals approved | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.600 | 0 | 0 | 0.600 |
| N/a | Forward Plans Team | BRRP | N/a | TBC | TBC | TBC | TBC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.750 | 0 | 0.750 |
| | | | | | Predicted | Spend | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.452 | 23.424 | 0 | 34.876 |
| | | | | | Unalloca Revenue | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.124 |
| 2.30 | TVB Smart City Cluster | LGF | А | 1 st & 2 nd round challenge fund launched | Nov 17 by LEP Board | Jan 18 | Due Jun 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.083 | 0.255 | 1.396 | 0 | 1.734 |

- 13. In addition to these capital schemes, there is a further Local Growth Deal funded project called 2.30 TVB Smart City Cluster (Smart Berkshire). The project delivers three key deliverables:
 - a. <u>Smart city platform</u>: consisting of an Internet of Things (IoT) communication platform across Reading, Wokingham, West Berkshire and Bracknell and a cross-authority open data platform. This is enabling infrastructure for the delivery of a wide range of IoT technologies including traffic signal communications which will provide the revenue savings to maintain and operate the system.
 - b. <u>Challenge funded IoT solutions</u>: grant funded IoT solutions to real Local Authority challenges which will utilise the platform. These grants will be awarded through competition and will be on the basis of co-funding.
 - c. <u>Cross authority / cross sector smart city group</u>: This includes a Steering Group to oversee the project delivery and act as a catalyst for wider smart city debate, project development and funding.

A pro-forma giving detailed progress is included in Appendix 1.

Human Rights Act and Other Legal Implications

14. The Assurance Framework^{vi} referred to above identifies the steps that scheme promoters should take in order to secure financial approval from the LTB. There are, in effect, two layers of scheme approval. The first, and primary layer rests with the scheme promoter (all the schemes referred to in this report are being promoted by Local Authorities). In order to implement the schemes in question, each promoter will need to satisfy themselves that all the legal implications have been considered and appropriately resolved. The secondary layer of approval, given by the LTB, is concerned with the release of funds against the detailed business case. The arrangements for publication of plans via the LEP and promoters' websites, the arrangements for independent assessment and the consideration of detailed scheme reports are appropriate steps to ensure that any significant Human Rights Act or other legal implications are properly identified and considered.

Supporting Information

- 15. The Thames Valley Berkshire LEP website has published summary information about all its Growth Deal-funded projects, including all transport projects. Please go to Thames Valley Berkshire Local Growth Fund Viiand Business Rates Retention Pilotviii e-Books.
- 16. There is a detailed progress report on each of the schemes at Appendix 1 to this report.

Monitoring and Evaluation

17. The Monitoring and Evaluation Plan for the Thames Valley Berkshire Growth Deal has now been agreed with government. In addition to the need for transport scheme promoters to collect and publish monitoring and evaluation reports that comply with DfT guidance for capital schemes, there will be requirements to cooperate with the overall monitoring and evaluation plan for the Growth Deal.

- 18. The difference between the two processes is that one concentrates on the transport impacts and the other on the economic impacts. The basic information required from each scheme promoter is set out in the scheme proformas. This requirement is less onerous for schemes under £5m Growth Deal contribution and runs to much more detail for the larger schemes.
- 19. For most schemes there will be little or no additional Growth Deal monitoring burden beyond that already signalled. Extra effort may be required to comply with the standard set out in the Monitoring and Evaluation plan which is "accurate, timely, verified and quality assured monitoring data". For schemes mentioned by name in the Monitoring and Evaluation Plan (see list below) there will be a separate discussion about the duties on the scheme promoter:

2.01 Newbury: King's Road Link Road

2.04 Wokingham: Distributor Roads Programme

2.06 Reading: Green Park Railway Station

2.08 Slough: Rapid Transit Phase 1

Background Papers

Each of the schemes referred to above has a proforma summarising its details. Both the LEP and LTB prioritisation processes and scoring schemes are also available background papers. The Monitoring and Evaluation Plan for TVB Growth Deal is also available.

vihttp://www.thamesvalleyberkshire.co.uk/berkshire-strategic-transport-forum

vii https://spark.adobe.com/page/IUILI858NStY0/

https://spark.adobe.com/page/6LOjEtuDgacVm/